

REMARKS

Nonelected Claims 7-14 have been cancelled.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-6, 15-18, 21, and 22 under 35 USC §112 as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention.

The examiner points out that Claim 1 lacks antecedent basis for "the pregel solution" and that Claim 15 does not set forth a process step. Claims 1 and 15 have been amended to address these rejections and should now be allowable, as should the remaining claims dependent thereon.

Please charge any additional fees due to Deposit Account No. 13-1175 of the undersigned.

Respectfully,

MALLINCKRODT & MALLINCKRODT



Robert R. Mallinckrodt
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 26,565
Customer No. 27469

January 7, 2004
Salt Lake City, UT

E:\General\My Documents\Patents\582 M-Biotech\011 amendment 2